

ORACLE®

One VM to Rule Them All

Christian Wimmer

VM Research Group, Oracle Labs

Safe Harbor Statement

The following is intended to provide some insight into a line of research in Oracle Labs. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described in connection with any Oracle product or service remains at the sole discretion of Oracle. Any views expressed in this presentation are my own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Oracle.

One Language to Rule Them All?

Let's ask a search engine...

[JavaScript: One language to rule them all | VentureBeat](#)



[venturebeat.com/2011/.../javascript-one-language-to-rule-them-... ▾](#)

by Peter Yared - in 23 Google+ circles

Jul 29, 2011 - Why code in two different scripting languages, one on the client and one on the server? It's time for **one language to rule them all**. Peter Yared ...

[\[PDF\] Python: One Script \(Language\) to rule them all - Ian Darwin](#)

[www.darwinsys.com/python/python4unix.pdf ▾](#)

Another **Language**? ▶ Python was invented in 1991 by Guido van. Rossum. • Named after the comedy troupe, not the snake. ▶ Simple. • They **all** say that!

[Q & Stuff: One Language to Rule Them All - Java](#)

[qstuff.blogspot.com/2005/10/one-language-to-rule-them-all-java.html ▾](#)

Oct 10, 2005 - **One Language to Rule Them All - Java**. For a long time I'd been hoping to add a scripting language to LibQ, to use in any of my (or other ...

[Dart : one language to rule them all - MixIT 2013 - Slideshare](#)

[fr.slideshare.net/sdeleuze/dart-mixit2013en ▾](#)

DartSébastien Deleuze - @sdeleuzeMix-IT 2013One **language to rule them all** ...

One Language to Rule Them All?

Let's ask Stack Overflow...



Stack Overflow is a question and answer site for professional and enthusiast programmers. It's 100% free, no registration required.

Why can't there be an “ultimate” programming language?

closed as not constructive by [Tim](#), [Bo Persson](#), [Devon_C_Miller](#), [Mark, Graviton](#) Jan 17 at 5:58

“Write Your Own Language”

Current situation

Prototype a new language

Parser and language work to build syntax tree (AST),
AST Interpreter

Write a “real” VM

In C/C++, still using AST interpreter, spend a lot of time
implementing runtime system, GC, ...

People start using it

People complain about performance

Define a bytecode format and write bytecode interpreter

Performance is still bad

Write a JIT compiler, improve the garbage collector

How it should be

Prototype a new language in Java

Parser and language work to build syntax tree (AST)
Execute using AST interpreter

People start using it

And it is already fast

Truffle System Structure

AST Interpreter for every language



Your language should be here!

Common API separates language implementation and optimization system




Language agnostic dynamic compiler




Integrate with Java applications

Low-footprint VM, also suitable for embedding

Speculate and Optimize...



... and Deoptimize and Reoptimize!



More Details on Truffle Approach

<https://wiki.openjdk.java.net/display/Graal/Publications+and+Presentations>

One VM to Rule Them All

Thomas Würthinger* Christian Wimmer* Andreas Wöß† Lukas Stadler†
Gilles Duboscq† Christian Humer† Gregor Richards§ Doug Simon* Mario Wolczko*
*Oracle Labs †Institute for System Software, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria §S³ Lab, Purdue University
{thomas.wuerthinger, christian.wimmer, doug.simon, mario.wolczko}@oracle.com
{woess, stadler, duboscq, christian.humer}@ssw.jku.at gr@purdue.edu

Abstract

Building high-performance virtual machines is a complex and expensive undertaking; many popular languages still have low-performance implementations. We describe a new approach to virtual machine (VM) construction that amortizes much of the effort in initial construction by allowing new languages to be implemented with modest additional effort. The approach relies on abstract syntax tree (AST) interpretation where a node can rewrite itself to a more specialized or more general node, together with an optimizing com-

piler as Microsoft's Common Language Runtime, the VM of the .NET framework [43]. These implementations can be characterized in the following way:

- Their performance on typical applications is within a small integer multiple (1-3x) of the best statically compiled code for most equivalent programs written in an unsafe language such as C.
- They are usually written in an unsafe, systems programming language (C or C++).

Truffle Language Projects


Some languages that we are aware of

- Ruby: Oracle Labs, experimental part of JRuby
 - Open source: <https://github.com/jruby/jruby>
- R: JKU Linz, Purdue University, Oracle Labs
 - Open source: <https://bitbucket.org/allr/fastr>
- Python: UC Irvine
 - Open source: <https://bitbucket.org/ssllab/zippy/>
- JavaScript: JKU Linz, Oracle Labs
 - <http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/oracle-labs/program-languages/>
- SOM (Smalltalk): Stefan Marr
 - Open source: <https://github.com/smarr/TruffleSOM>

Performance Disclaimers


- All Truffle numbers reflect a development snapshot
 - Subject to change at any time (hopefully improve)
 - You have to know a benchmark to understand why it is slow or fast
- We are not claiming to have complete language implementations
 - JavaScript: passes 100% of ECMAScript standard tests
 - Ruby: passing >70% of RubySpec language tests
 - About as complete as Topaz
 - JRuby passes about 86%
 - R: early prototype
 - Python: about 80% language completeness
- Benchmarks that are not shown
 - may not run at all, or
 - may not run fast

Performance: JavaScript




Performance: Ruby

psd.rb and chunky_png Ruby gems





Performance: Python



Acknowledgements

Oracle Labs

Danilo Ansaloni
Daniele Bonetta
Laurent Daynès
Erik Eckstein
Michael Haupt
Mick Jordan
Peter Kessler
Christos Kotselidis
David Leibs
Tom Rodriguez
Roland Schatz
Chris Seaton
Doug Simon
Lukas Stadler
Michael Van De Vanter
Adam Welc
Christian Wimmer
Christian Wirth
Paul Wögerer
Mario Wolczko
Andreas Wöß
Thomas Würthinger

Oracle Labs Interns

Stefan Anzinger
Shams Imam
Stephen Kell
Julian Lettner
Gregor Richards
Rifat Shariyar

JKU Linz

Prof. Hanspeter Mössenböck
Gilles Duboscq
Matthias Grimmer
Christian Häubl
Josef Haider
Christian Humer
Christian Huber
Manuel Rigger
Bernhard Urban

University of Edinburgh

Christophe Dubach
Juan José Fumero Alfonso
Ranjeet Singh
Toomas Remmelg

LaBRI

Floréal Morandat

University of California, Irvine

Prof. Michael Franz
Codrut Stancu
Gulfem Savrun Yeniceri
Wei Zhang

Purdue University

Prof. Jan Vitek
Tomas Kalibera
Petr Maj
Lei Zhao

T. U. Dortmund

Prof. Peter Marwedel
Helena Kotthaus
Ingo Korb

University of California, Davis

Prof. Duncan Temple Lang
Nicholas Ulle

Simple Language

SL: A Simple Language

- Language to demonstrate and showcase features of Truffle
 - Simple and clean implementation
 - Not the language for your next implementation project
- Language highlights
 - Dynamically typed
 - Strongly typed
 - No automatic type conversions
 - Arbitrary precision integer numbers
 - First class functions
 - Dynamic function redefinition
- Omitted language features
 - No floating point numbers
 - No object model: no run-time memory allocation

Types

SL Type	Values	Java Type in Implementation
Number	Arbitrary precision integer numbers	long for values that fit within 64 bits java.lang.BigInteger on overflow
Boolean	true, false	boolean
String	Unicode characters	java.lang.String
Function	Reference to a function	SLFunction
Null	null	SLNull.SINGLETON

Null is its own type because SL has no object type; could also be called "Undefined"

Best Practice: Use Java primitive types as much as possible to increase performance

Best Practice: Do not use the Java null value for the guest language null value

Syntax

- C-like syntax for control flow
 - if, while, break, continue, return
- Operators
 - +, -, *, /, ==, !=, <, <=, >, >=, &&, ||, ()
 - + is defined on String, performs String concatenation
 - && and || have short-circuit semantics
- Literals
 - Number, String, Function
- Builtin functions
 - println, readln: Standard I/O
 - nanoTime: to allow time measurements
 - defineFunction: dynamic function redefinition
 - stacktrace, helloEqualsWorld: stack walking and stack frame manipulation

Parsing

- Scanner and parser generated from grammar
 - Using Coco/R
 - Available from <http://ssw.jku.at/coco/>
- Refer to Coco/R documentation for details
 - This is not a tutorial about parsing
- Building a Truffle AST from a parse tree is usually simple

Best Practice: Use your favorite parser generator, or an existing parser for your language

SL Examples

Hello World:

```
function main() {  
  println("Hello World!");  
}
```

Strings:

```
function f(a, b) {  
  return a + " < " + b + ": " + (a < b);  
}  
  
function main() {  
  println(f(2, 4));  
  println(f(2, "4"));  
}
```

Simple loop:

```
function main() {  
  i = 0;  
  sum = 0;  
  while (i <= 10000) {  
    sum = sum + i;  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
  return sum;  
}
```

First class functions:

```
function add(a, b) { return a + b; }  
function sub(a, b) { return a - b; }  
  
function foo(f) {  
  println(f(40, 2));  
}  
  
function main() {  
  foo(add);  
  foo(sub);  
}
```

Function definition and redefinition:

```
function foo() { println(f(40, 2)); }  
  
function main() {  
  defineFunction("function f(a, b) { return a + b; }");  
  foo();  
  
  defineFunction("function f(a, b) { return a - b; }");  
  foo();  
}
```

SL Examples with Output

Hello World:

```
function main() {  
  println("Hello World!");  
}
```

Hello World!

Strings:

```
function f(a, b) {  
  return a + " < " + b + ": " + (a < b);  
}  
  
function main() {  
  println(f(2, 4));  
  println(f(2, "4"));  
}
```

2 < 4: true
Type error

Simple loop:

```
function main() {  
  i = 0;  
  sum = 0;  
  while (i <= 10000) {  
    sum = sum + i;  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
  return sum;  
}
```

50005000

First class functions:

```
function add(a, b) { return a + b; }  
function sub(a, b) { return a - b; }  
  
function foo(f) {  
  println(f(40, 2));  
}  
  
function main() {  
  foo(add);  
  foo(sub);  
}
```

42
38

Function definition and redefinition:

```
function foo() { println(f(40, 2)); }  
  
function main() {  
  defineFunction("function f(a, b) { return a + b; }");  
  foo();  
  
  defineFunction("function f(a, b) { return a - b; }");  
  foo();  
}
```

42
38

Getting Started

Get and build the source code:

```
$ hg clone http://hg.openjdk.java.net/graal/graal
$ cd graal
$ ./mx.sh build
```

Use the "server" configuration when mx asks you

Run SL example program:


```
$ ./mx.sh sl graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/HelloWorld.sl
```

Generate Eclipse and NetBeans projects:

```
$ ./mx.sh ideinit
```

mx is our script to simplify building and execution

Import (at least) the following projects to work with SL:



Simple Tree Nodes

Truffle Nodes and Trees

- Class Node: base class of all Truffle tree nodes
 - Management of parent and children
 - Replacement of this node with a (new) node
 - Copy a node
 - No execute() methods: define your own in subclasses
- Class NodeUtil provides useful utility methods

```
public abstract class Node implements Cloneable {  
    protected Node(SourceSection sourceSection) { ... }  
  
    public final Node getParent() { ... }  
    public final Iterable<Node> getChildren() { ... }  
  
    public final <T extends Node> T replace(T newNode) { ... }  
    public Node copy() { ... }  
}
```

If Statement

```
public final class SLIfNode extends SLStatementNode {
    @Child private SLExpressionNode conditionNode;
    @Child private SLStatementNode thenPartNode;
    @Child private SLStatementNode elsePartNode;

    public SLIfNode(SLExpressionNode conditionNode, SLStatementNode thenPartNode, SLStatementNode elsePartNode) {
        this.conditionNode = conditionNode;
        this.thenPartNode = thenPartNode;
        this.elsePartNode = elsePartNode;
    }

    public void executeVoid(VirtualFrame frame) {
        if (conditionNode.executeBoolean(frame)) {
            thenPartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        } else {
            elsePartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        }
    }
}
```

Rule: A field for a child node must be annotated with @Child and must not be final

Blocks

```
public final class SLBlockNode extends SLStatementNode {
    @Children private final SLStatementNode[] bodyNodes;

    public SLBlockNode(SLStatementNode[] bodyNodes) {
        this.bodyNodes = bodyNodes;
    }

    @ExplodeLoop
    public void executeVoid(VirtualFrame frame) {
        for (SLStatementNode statement : bodyNodes) {
            statement.executeVoid(frame);
        }
    }
}
```

Rule: A field for multiple child nodes must be annotated with `@Children` and a `final` array

Rule: The iteration of the children must be annotated with `@ExplodeLoop`

Return Statement: Inter-Node Control Flow

```
public final class SLReturnNode extends SLStatementNode {
    @Child private SLExpressionNode valueNode;
    ...
    public void executeVoid(VirtualFrame frame) {
        throw new SLReturnException(valueNode.executeGeneric(frame));
    }
}
```

```
public final class SLFunctionBodyNode extends SLExpressionNode {
    @Child private SLStatementNode bodyNode;
    ...
    public Object executeGeneric(VirtualFrame frame) {
        try {
            bodyNode.executeVoid(frame);
        } catch (SLReturnException ex) {
            return ex.getResult();
        }
        return SLNull.SINGLETON;
    }
}
```

```
public final class SLReturnException
    extends ControlFlowException {
    private final Object result;
    ...
}
```

Best practice: Use Java exceptions for inter-node control flow

Rule: Exceptions used to model control flow extend ControlFlowException

Truffle DSL: Specialization and Node Rewriting

Addition

```
@NodeChildren({@NodeChild("leftNode"), @NodeChild("rightNode")})
public abstract class SLBinaryNode extends SLExpressionNode { }

public abstract class SAddNode extends SLBinaryNode {

    @Specialization(rewriteOn = ArithmeticException.class)
    protected final long add(long left, long right) {
        return ExactMath.addExact(left, right);
    }

    @Specialization
    protected final BigInteger add(BigInteger left, BigInteger right) {
        return left.add(right);
    }


    @Specialization(guards = "isString")
    protected final String add(Object left, Object right) {
        return left.toString() + right.toString();
    }

    protected final boolean isString(Object a, Object b) {
        return a instanceof String || b instanceof String;
    }
}
```

For all other specializations, guards are implicit based on method signature

Code Generated by Truffle DSL (1)

Generated class hierarchy:



The parser creates a SLAddUninitializedNode, using the SLAddNodeFactory

SLAddPolymorphicNode is chains other node subclasses for polymorphic additions

Generated factory class:

```
@GeneratedBy(SLAddNode.class)
public final class SLAddNodeFactory implements NodeFactory<SLAddNode> {

    public SLAddNode createNode(Object... arguments) { ... }

    public static SLAddNode create(SLExpressionNode leftNode, SLExpressionNode rightNode) { ... }
    ...
}
```


Code Generated by Truffle DSL (2)

```
@GeneratedBy(SLAddNode.class)
@NodeInfo(kind = Kind.SPECIALIZED, shortName = "+")
private final class SLAddLongNode extends SLAddBaseNode {
    public long executeLong(VirtualFrame frameValue) throws UnexpectedResultException {
        long leftNodeValue;
        try {
            leftNodeValue = leftNode.executeLong(frameValue);
        } catch (UnexpectedResultException ex) {
            Object rightNodeValue = rightNode.executeGeneric(frameValue);
            return SLTYPES.expectLong(executeAndSpecialize0(1, frameValue, ex.getResult(), rightNodeValue));
        }
        long rightNodeValue;
        try {
            rightNodeValue = this.rightNode.executeLong(frameValue);
        } catch (UnexpectedResultException ex) {
            return SLTYPES.expectLong(executeAndSpecialize0(1, frameValue, leftNodeValue, ex.getResult()));
        }
        try {
            return super.add(leftNodeValue, rightNodeValue);
        } catch (ArithmeticException ex) {
            return SLTYPES.expectLong(executeAndSpecialize0(1, frameValue, leftNodeValue, rightNodeValue));
        }
    }

    public Object executeGeneric(VirtualFrame frameValue) {
        try {
            return executeLong(frameValue);
        } catch (UnexpectedResultException ex) {
            return ex.getResult();
        }
    }
}
```

Type System Definition in Truffle DSL

```
@TypeSystem({long.class, BigInteger.class, boolean.class,  
            String.class, SLFunction.class, SLNull.class})  
public abstract class SLTypes {  
    @ImplicitCast  
    public BigInteger castBigInteger(long value) {  
        return BigInteger.valueOf(value);  
    }  
}
```

Order of types is important: defines the order in which specializations are matched

Not shown in slide: Use @TypeCheck and @TypeCast to customize type conversions

```
@TypeSystemReference(SLTypes.class)  
public abstract class SLExpressionNode extends SLStatementNode {  
    public abstract Object executeGeneric(VirtualFrame frame);  
  
    public long executeLong(VirtualFrame frame) throws UnexpectedResultException {  
        return SLTypesGen.SLTYPES.expectLong(executeGeneric(frame));  
    }  
    public BigInteger executeBigInteger(VirtualFrame frame) ...  
    public boolean executeBoolean(VirtualFrame frame) ...  
    public String executeString(VirtualFrame frame) ...  
    public SLFunction executeFunction(VirtualFrame frame) ...  
    public SLNull executeNull(VirtualFrame frame) ...  
}
```


SLTypesGen is a generated subclass of SLTypes

Rule: One execute() method per type, in addition to the abstract executeGeneric() method

UnexpectedResultException

- Type-specialized `execute()` methods have specialized return type
 - Allows primitive return types, to avoid boxing
 - Allows to use the result without type casts
 - Speculation types are stable and the specialization fits
- But what to do when speculation was too optimistic?
 - Need to return a value with a type more general than the return type
 - Solution: return the value “boxed” in an `UnexpectedResultException`
- Exception handler performs node rewriting
 - Exception is thrown only once, so no performance bottleneck

Truffle DSL Workflow



Frames and Local Variables

Frame Layout

- In the interpreter, a frame is an object on the heap
 - Allocated in the function prologue
 - Passed around as parameter to `execute()` methods
- The compiler eliminates the allocation
 - No object allocation and object access
 - Guest language local variables have the same performance as Java local variables
- **FrameDescriptor**: describes the layout of a frame
 - A mapping from identifiers (usually variable names) to typed slots
 - Every slot has a unique index into the frame object
 - Created and filled during parsing
- **Frame**
 - Created for every invoked guest language function

Frame Management

- Truffle API only exposes frame interfaces
 - Implementation class depends on the optimizing system
- `VirtualFrame`
 - What you usually use: automatically optimized by the compiler
 - Must never be assigned to a field, or escape out of an interpreted function
- `MaterializedFrame`
 - A frame that can be stored without restrictions
 - Example: frame of a closure that needs to be passed to other function
- Allocation of frames
 - Factory methods in the class `TruffleRuntime`

Frame Management

```
public interface Frame {
    FrameDescriptor getFrameDescriptor();
    Object[] getArguments();

    Object getValue(FrameSlot slot);

    boolean isType(FrameSlot slot);
    Type getType(FrameSlot slot) throws FrameSlotTypeException;
    void setType(FrameSlot slot, Type value);

    MaterializedFrame materialize();
}
```

Frames support all Java primitive types, and Object

SL types String, SLFunction, and SLNull are stored as Object in the frame

Rule: Never allocate frames yourself, and never make your own frame implementations

Local Variables

```
@NodeField(name = "slot", type = FrameSlot.class)
public abstract class SLReadLocalVariableNode extends SLExpressionNode {

    protected abstract FrameSlot getSlot();

    @Specialization(rewriteOn = FrameSlotTypeException.class)
    protected final long readLong(VirtualFrame frame) throws FrameSlotTypeException {
        return frame.getLong(getSlot());
    }

    ...

    @Specialization(rewriteOn = FrameSlotTypeException.class)
    protected final Object readObject(VirtualFrame frame) throws FrameSlotTypeException {
        return frame.getObject(getSlot());
    }

    @Specialization(contains = {"readLong", "readBoolean", "readObject"})
    protected final Object read(VirtualFrame frame) {
        return frame.getValue(getSlot());
    }
}
```

Frame.getValue() never fails, also returns boxed primitive values

Local Variables

```
@NodeChild("valueNode")
@NodeField(name = "slot", type = FrameSlot.class)
public abstract class SLWriteLocalVariableNode extends SLExpressionNode {
    protected abstract FrameSlot getSlot();

    @Specialization(guards = "isLongKind")
    protected final long write(VirtualFrame frame, long value) {
        frame.setLong(getSlot(), value);
        return value;
    }
    ...
    @Specialization(contains = {"writeLong", "writeBoolean"})
    protected final Object write(VirtualFrame frame, Object value) {
        if (getSlot().getKind() != FrameSlotKind.Object) {
            getSlot().setKind(FrameSlotKind.Object);
        }
        frame.setObject(getSlot(), value);
        return value;
    }
}
```

```
protected final boolean isLongKind() {
    return isKind(FrameSlotKind.Long);
}

private boolean isKind(FrameSlotKind kind) {
    if (getSlot().getKind() == kind) {
        return true;
    } else if (getSlot().getKind() ==
                FrameSlotKind.Illegal) {
        getSlot().setKind(kind);
        return true;
    } else {
        return false;
    }
}
```

Compilation

Compilation

- Automatic partial evaluation of AST
 - Automatically triggered by function execution count
- Compilation assumes that the AST is stable
 - All `@Child` and `@Children` fields treated like `final` fields
- Later node rewriting invalidates the machine code
 - Transfer back to the interpreter: “Deoptimization”
 - Complex logic for node rewriting not part of compiled code
 - Essential for excellent peak performance
- Compiler optimizations eliminate the interpreter overhead
 - No more dispatch between nodes
 - No more allocation of `VirtualFrame` objects
 - No more exceptions for inter-node control flow

Compilation

SL source code:

```
function loop(n) {  
  i = 0;  
  while (i < n) {  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
  return i;  
}
```

Machine code for loop:

```
...  
movq    rcx, 0x0  
jmp     L2:  
L1: safepoint  
mov     rsi, rcx  
addq   rsi, 0x1  
jo     L3:  
mov     rcx, rsi  
L2: cmp   rax, rcx  
jnle   L1:  
...  
L3: call deoptimize
```

Run this example:

```
./mx.sh sl -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/LoopPrint.sl
```


-G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation forces compilation in the main thread

```
./mx.sh igv &
```


```
./mx.sh sl -G:Dump= -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/LoopPrint.sl
```

Add the flag -G:Dump= to dump compiled functions to IGV

Visualization Tools: IGV



Visualization Tools: IGV




Function Calls

Polymorphic Inline Caches

- Function lookups are expensive
 - At least in a real language, in SL lookups are only a few field loads
- Checking whether a function is the correct one is cheap
 - Always a single comparison
- Inline Cache
 - Cache the result of the previous lookup and check if it still correct
- Polymorphic Inline Cache
 - Cache multiple previous lookups, up to a certain limit
- Inline cache miss needs to perform the slow lookup
- Implementation using tree rewriting
 - One node per cached value
 - Build chain of multiple cache nodes

Polymorphic Inline Cache

Example of cache with length 2



Invoke Node

```
public final class SLInvokeNode extends SLExpressionNode {  
  
    @Child protected SLExpressionNode functionNode;  
    @Children protected final SLExpressionNode[] argumentNodes;  
    @Child protected SLAbstractDispatchNode dispatchNode;  
  
    @ExplodeLoop  
    public Object executeGeneric(VirtualFrame frame) {  
        SLFunction function = functionNode.executeFunction(frame);  
  
        Object[] argumentValues = new Object[argumentNodes.length];  
        for (int i = 0; i < argumentNodes.length; i++) {  
            argumentValues[i] = argumentNodes[i].executeGeneric(frame);  
        }  
  
        return dispatchNode.executeDispatch(frame, function, argumentValues);  
    }  
}
```

Separation of concerns: this node evaluates the function and arguments only

Uninitialized Dispatch Node

```
final class SLUninitializedDispatchNode extends SLAbstractDispatchNode {  
  
    protected Object executeDispatch(VirtualFrame frame, SLFunction function, Object[] arguments) {  
        int depth = ...  
        SLInvokeNode invokeNode = ...  
  
        SLAbstractDispatchNode replacement;  
        if (depth < INLINE_CACHE_SIZE) {  
            SLAbstractDispatchNode next = new SLUninitializedDispatchNode();  
            replacement = new SLDirectDispatchNode(next, function);  
            replace(replacement);  
  
        } else {  
            replacement = new SLGenericDispatchNode();  
            invokeNode.dispatchNode.replace(replacement);  
        }  
  
        return replacement.executeDispatch(frame, function, arguments);  
    }  
}
```

Separation of concerns: this node builds the inline cache chain

Inline Cache Node

```
final class SLDirectDispatchNode extends SLAbstractDispatchNode {  
  
    private final SLFunction cachedFunction;  
    @Child private DirectCallNode callCachedTargetNode;  
    @Child private SLAbstractDispatchNode nextNode;  
  
    protected SLDirectDispatchNode(SLAbstractDispatchNode next, SLFunction cachedFunction) {  
        this.cachedFunction = cachedFunction;  
        this.callCachedTargetNode = Truffle.getRuntime().createDirectCallNode(cachedFunction.getCallTarget());  
        this.nextNode = next;  
    }  
  
    protected Object executeDispatch(VirtualFrame frame, SLFunction function, Object[] arguments) {  
        if (this.cachedFunction == function) {  
            return callCachedTargetNode.call(frame, arguments);  
        } else {  
            return nextNode.executeDispatch(frame, function, arguments);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Rule: the cachedFunction must be a final field


Separation of concerns: this node performs the inline cache check and optimized dispatch

Generic Dispatch Node

```
final class SLGenericDispatchNode extends SLAbstractDispatchNode {  
    @Child private IndirectCallNode callNode = Truffle.getRuntime().createIndirectCallNode();  
  
    protected Object executeDispatch(VirtualFrame frame, SLFunction function, Object[] arguments) {  
        return callNode.call(frame, function.getCallTarget(), arguments);  
    }  
}
```

Separation of concerns: this is the always succeeding, but slow, fallback node

Example: Function Calls



Truffle framework code triggers compilation, function inlining, ...

Function Arguments

- Function arguments are not type-specialized
 - Passed in `Object[]` array
- Function prologue writes them to local variables
 - `SLReadArgumentNode` in the function prologue
 - Local variable accesses are type-specialized, so only one unboxing

Example SL code:

```
function add(a, b) {  
    return a + b;  
}  
  
function main() {  
    add(2, 3);  
}
```


Specialized AST for function `add()`:

```
SLRootNode  
  bodyNode = SLFunctionBodyNode  
    bodyNode = SLBlockNode  
      bodyNodes[0] = SLWriteLocalVariableLongNode(name = "a")  
        valueNode = SLReadArgumentNode(index = 0)  
      bodyNodes[1] = SLWriteLocalVariableLongNode(name = "b")  
        valueNode = SLReadArgumentNode(index = 1)  
      bodyNodes[2] = SLReturnNode  
        valueNode = SLAddLongNode  
          leftNode = SLReadLocalVariableLongNode(name = "a")  
          rightNode = SLReadLocalVariableLongNode(name = "b")
```



Function Inlining

- Function inlining is one of the most important optimizations
 - Replace a call with a copy of the callee
- Function inlining in Truffle operates on the AST level
 - The whole node tree of a function is duplicated
 - Call node is replaced with the root of the duplicated tree
- Benefits
 - Inlined tree is specialized separately
 - Result: context sensitive profiling information
 - All later optimizations see the big combined tree, without further work
 - Partial evaluation operates on the inlined tree
- Language-independent inlining logic
 - SLRootNode overrides methods that provide the AST copy that is inlined
 - SLRootNode keeps copy of the uninitialized (= non-specialized) AST

Function Inlining (1)



Function Inlining (2)



Function Inlining Nodes

```
final class SLDirectDispatchNode extends SLAbstractDispatchNode {
    protected SLDirectDispatchNode(... SLFunction cachedFunction) {
        this.callCachedTargetNode = Truffle.getRuntime().createDirectCallNode(cachedFunction.getCallTarget());
        ...
    }
}
```

```
public final class SLRootNode extends RootNode {
    @Child private SLExpressionNode bodyNode;
    private final SLExpressionNode uninitializedBodyNode;

    public SLRootNode(... SLExpressionNode bodyNode) {
        this.uninitializedBodyNode = NodeUtil.cloneNode(bodyNode);
        this.bodyNode = bodyNode;
    }

    public RootNode split() {
        return new SLRootNode(... NodeUtil.cloneNode(uninitializedBodyNode));
    }
}
```

Function Inlining Example

SL source code:

```
function add(a, b) {  
    return a + b;  
}  
function foo() {  
    add(1, 2);  
    add("x", "y") {  
    }  
}
```

AST before function inlining:

function add() called by both calls

```
SLReturnNode  
  value = SLAddPolymorphicNode  
    left = SLReadLocalVariableObjectNode  
    right = SLReadLocalVariableObjectNode
```

AST after function inlining:

function add() inlined for first call

```
SLReturnNode  
  value = SLAddLongNode  
    left = SLReadLocalVariableLongNode  
    right = SLReadLocalVariableLongNode
```

function add() inlined for second call

```
SLReturnNode  
  value = SLAddStringNode  
    left = SLReadLocalVariableObjectNode  
    right = SLReadLocalVariableObjectNode
```

Compilation with Inlined Function

SL source code without call:

```
function loop(n) {  
  i = 0;  
  while (i < n) {  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
  return i;  
}
```

Machine code for loop without call:

```
...  
movq    rcx, 0x0  
jmp     L2:  
L1: safepoint  
mov     rsi, rcx  
addq   rsi, 0x1  
jo     L3:  
mov     rcx, rsi  
L2: cmp   rax, rcx  
jnle   L1:  
...  
L3: call deoptimize
```

SL source code with call:

```
function add(a, b) {  
  return a + b;  
}  
function loop(n) {  
  i = 0;  
  while (i < n) {  
    i = add(i, 1);  
  }  
  return i;  
}
```

Machine code for loop with inlined call:

```
...  
movq    rcx, 0x0  
jmp     L2:  
L1: safepoint  
mov     rsi, rcx  
addq   rsi, 0x1  
jo     L3:  
mov     rcx, rsi  
L2: cmp   rax, rcx  
jnle   L1:  
...  
L3: call deoptimize
```

Compilation API

Truffle Compilation API

- Default behavior of compilation: Inline all reachable Java methods
- Truffle API provides class `CompilerDirectives` to influence compilation
 - `@CompilationFinal`
 - Treat a field as `final` during compilation
 - `transferToInterpreter()`
 - Never compile part of a Java method
 - `transferToInterpreterAndInvalidate()`
 - Invalidate machine code when reached
 - Implicitly done by `Node.replace()`
 - `@TruffleBoundary`
 - Hint that this method is not important for performance, i.e., hint to not inline it
 - `inInterpreter()`
 - For profiling code that runs only in the interpreter
 - `Assumption`
 - Invalidate machine code from outside
 - Avoid checking a condition over and over in compiled code

Guards and Interpreter Profiling (1)

```
public final class BranchProfile {
    @CompilationFinal private boolean visited;

    public void enter() {
        if (!visited) {
            CompilerDirectives.transferToInterpreterAndInvalidate();
            visited = true;
        }
    }
}
```

transferToInterpreter*() does nothing when running in interpreter

```
public final class SLIfNode extends SLStatementNode {
    private final BranchProfile thenTaken = BranchProfile.create();
    private final BranchProfile elseTaken = BranchProfile.create();

    public void executeVoid(VirtualFrame frame) {
        if (conditionNode.executeBoolean(frame)) {
            thenTaken.enter();
            thenPartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        } else {
            elseTaken.enter();
            elsePartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        }
    }
}
```

Best practice: Profiling in the interpreter allows the compiler to generate better code

Guards and Interpreter Profiling (2)

```
public final class CountingConditionProfile {
    @CompilationFinal private int trueCount;
    @CompilationFinal private int falseCount;

    public boolean profile(boolean value) {
        if (value) {
            if (trueCount == 0) {
                CompilerDirectives.transferToInterpreterAndInvalidate();
            }
            if (CompilerDirectives.inInterpreter()) {
                trueCount++;
            }
        } else {
            if (falseCount == 0) {
                CompilerDirectives.transferToInterpreterAndInvalidate();
            }
            if (CompilerDirectives.inInterpreter()) {
                falseCount++;
            }
        }
        return CompilerDirectives.injectBranchProbability(
            (double) trueCount / (double) (trueCount + falseCount), value);
    }
}
```

```
public final class SLIfNode extends SLStatementNode {

    private final ConditionProfile condition =
        ConditionProfile.createCountingProfile();

    public void executeVoid(VirtualFrame frame) {
        if (condition.profile(
            conditionNode.executeBoolean(frame))) {
            thenPartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        } else {
            elsePartNode.executeVoid(frame);
        }
    }
}
```

Slow Path Annotation

```
public abstract class SLPrintlnBuiltin extends SLBuiltinNode {  
  
    @Specialization  
    public final Object println(Object value) {  
        doPrint(getContext().getOutput(), value);  
        return value;  
    }  
  
    @TruffleBoundary  
    private static void doPrint(PrintStream out, Object value) {  
        out.println(value);  
    }  
}
```

When compiling, the output stream is a constant

Why @TruffleBoundary? Inlining something as big as println() would lead to code explosion

Function Redefinition (1)

- Problem

- In SL, functions can be redefined at any time
- This invalidates optimized call dispatch, and function inlining
- Checking for redefinition before each call would be a huge overhead

- Solution

- Every `SLFunction` has an `Assumption`
- `Assumption` is invalidated when the function is redefined
 - This invalidates optimized machine code

- Result

- No overhead when calling a function

Assumptions

Create an assumption:

```
Assumption assumption = Truffle.getRuntime().createAssumption();
```

Check an assumption:

```
void foo() {  
    assumption.check();  
    // Some code that is only valid if assumption is true.  
}
```

Respond to an invalidated assumption:

```
void bar() {  
    try {  
        foo();  
    } catch (InvalidAssumptionException ex) {  
        // Perform node rewriting, or other slow-path code to respond to change.  
    }  
}
```

Invalidate an assumption:

```
assumption.invalidate();
```

Function Redefinition (2)

```
public abstract class SLDefineFunctionBuiltin extends SLBuiltinNode {  
  
    @Specialization  
    public final String defineFunction(String code) {  
        doDefineFunction(getContext(), code);  
        return code;  
    }  
  
    @TruffleBoundary  
    private static void doDefineFunction(SLContext context, String code) {  
        Source source = Source.fromText(code, "[defineFunction]");  
        Parser.parseSL(context, source);  
    }  
}
```

Why @TruffleBoundary? Inlining something as big as the parser would lead to code explosion

SL semantics: Functions can be defined and redefined at any time

Function Redefinition (3)

```
public final class SLFunction {  
  
    private RootCallTarget callTarget;  
    private Assumption callTargetStable;  
  
    protected void setCallTarget(RootCallTarget callTarget) {  
        this.callTarget = callTarget;  
  
        if (callTargetStable != null) {  
            callTargetStable.invalidate();  
        }  
        callTargetStable = Truffle.getRuntime().createAssumption(name);  
    }  
  
    public RootCallTarget getCallTarget() {  
        return callTarget;  
    }  
  
    public Assumption getCallTargetStable() {  
        return callTargetStable;  
    }  
}
```

The utility class `CyclicAssumption` simplifies this code

Function Redefinition (4)

```
final class SLDirectDispatchNode extends SLAbstractDispatchNode {  
  
    private final SLFunction cachedFunction;  
    @Child private DirectCallNode callCachedTargetNode;  
    private final Assumption cachedTargetStable;  
  
    protected SLDirectDispatchNode(... SLFunction cachedFunction) {  
        this.cachedFunction = cachedFunction;  
        this.callCachedTargetNode = Truffle.getRuntime().createDirectCallNode(cachedFunction.getCallTarget());  
        this.cachedTargetStable = cachedFunction.getCallTargetStable();  
    }  
  
    protected Object executeDispatch(VirtualFrame frame, SLFunction function, Object[] arguments) {  
        if (this.cachedFunction == function) {  
            try {  
                cachedTargetStable.check();  
                return callCachedTargetNode.call(frame, arguments);  
            } catch (InvalidAssumptionException ex) {  
                replace(nextNode);  
            }  
        }  
        return nextNode.executeDispatch(frame, function, arguments);  
    }  
}
```

No compiled code for check()

Exception handler is not compiled

Compiler Assertions

- You work hard to help the compiler
- How do you check that you succeeded?
- `CompilerAsserts.compilationConstant()`
 - Checks that the passed in value is a compile-time constant
 - Compiler fails with a compilation error if the value is not a constant
 - When the assertion holds, no code is generated to produce the value
- `CompilerAsserts.neverPartOfCompilation()`
 - Checks that this code is never reached in a compiled method
 - Compiler fails with a compilation error if code is reachable
 - Useful at the beginning of helper methods that are big or rewrite nodes
 - All code dominated by the assertion is never compiled
- Assertions are checked after aggressive compiler optimizations
 - Method inlining, constant folding, dead code elimination, escape analysis, ...

Trace the Compilation (1)

```
./mx.sh sl -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation -G:+TraceTruffleExpansion -G:+TraceTruffleCompilation  
-G:+TraceTruffleCompilationDetails graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/LoopCall.sl
```

```
== running on Graal Truffle Runtime  
[truffle] opt start      root add  
OptimizedCallTarget.callRoot  
  StableOptionValue.getValue  
  OptimizedCallTarget.castArguments  
  OptimizedCallTarget.getRootNode  
  RootNode.getFrameDescriptor  
  OptimizedCallTarget.callProxy  
    SLRootNode.execute  
      SLFunctionBodyNode.executeGeneric  
        SLBlockNode.executeVoid  
          SLExpressionNode.executeVoid  
            SLExpressionNode.executeLong  
          SLExpressionNode.executeVoid  
            SLExpressionNode.executeLong  
        SLReturnNode.executeVoid  
          SLAddLongNode.executeGeneric  
            SLReadLocalVariableLongNode.executeLong  
            SLReadLocalVariableLongNode.executeLong
```

Useful to start finding performance problems, if you do not really have a clue what is wrong. You can then look at the tree, method by method, see what code is expanded, and optimize it with that information.

Output simplified for readability on slide

Trace the Compilation (2)

```
./mx.sh sl -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation -G:+TraceTruffleExpansion -G:+TraceTruffleExpansionSource  
-G:+TraceTruffleCompilation -G:+TraceTruffleCompilationDetails  
graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/LoopCall.sl
```

Console

Java Stack Trace Console

```
== running on Graal Truffle Runtime  
[truffle] opt queued      root add                |ASTSize    11 (0/0) |C/T      1000/    3 |L/T      1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#    0/    5  
[truffle] opt start      root add                |ASTSize    11 (0/0) |C/T      1000/    3 |L/T      1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#    0/    5  
OptimizedCallTarget.callRoot(OptimizedCallTarget.java:371)  
  (OptimizedCallTarget.java:374) StableOptionValue<Lcom/oracle/graal/options/StableOptionValue;>.getValue(StableOptionValue.java:55)  
  (OptimizedCallTarget.java:375) OptimizedCallTarget<Lcom/oracle/graal/truffle/OptimizedCallTarget;>.castArguments(OptimizedCallTarget.java:402)  
  (OptimizedCallTarget.java:379) OptimizedCallTarget<Lcom/oracle/graal/truffle/OptimizedCallTarget;>.getRootNode(OptimizedCallTarget.java:65)  
  (OptimizedCallTarget.java:379) RootNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/SLRootNode;>.getFrameDescriptor(RootNode.java:104)  
  (OptimizedCallTarget.java:380) OptimizedCallTarget<Lcom/oracle/graal/truffle/OptimizedCallTarget;>.callProxy(OptimizedCallTarget.java:292)  
    (OptimizedCallTarget.java:292) SLRootNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/SLRootNode;>.execute(SLRootNode.java:70)  
      (SLRootNode.java:70) SLFunctionBodyNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/controlflow/SLFunctionBodyNode;>.executeGeneric(SLFunctionBodyNode.java:62)  
        (SLFunctionBodyNode.java:62) SLBlockNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/controlflow/SLBlockNode;>.executeVoid(SLBlockNode.java:61)  
          (SLBlockNode.java:64) SLExpressionNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLWriteLocalVariableNodeFactory$SLWriteLocalVariableLongNode;>.executeVoid(SLExpressionNode.java:60)  
            (SLExpressionNode.java:60) SLExpressionNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLReadArgumentNode;>.executeLong(SLExpressionNode.java:70)  
              (SLBlockNode.java:64) SLExpressionNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLWriteLocalVariableNodeFactory$SLWriteLocalVariableLongNode;>.executeVoid(SLExpressionNode.java:60)  
                (SLExpressionNode.java:60) SLExpressionNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLReadArgumentNode;>.executeLong(SLExpressionNode.java:70)  
                  (SLBlockNode.java:64) SLReturnNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/controlflow/SLReturnNode;>.executeVoid(SLReturnNode.java:51)  
                    (SLReturnNode.java:52) SLAddLongNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/expression/SLAddNodeFactory$SLAddLongNode;>.executeGeneric(SLAddNodeFactory.java:298)  
                      (SLAddNodeFactory.java:298) SLReadLocalVariableLongNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLReadLocalVariableNodeFactory$SLReadLocalVariableLongNode;>.executeLong(SLReadLocalVariableNodeFactory.java:237)  
                        (SLAddNodeFactory.java) SLReadLocalVariableLongNode<Lcom/oracle/truffle/sl/nodes/local/SLReadLocalVariableNodeFactory$SLReadLocalVariableLongNode;>.executeLong(SLReadLocalVariableNodeFactory.java:237)  
OptimizedCallTarget.callRoot(OptimizedCallTarget.java:371)  
[truffle] opt done      root add <opt>                |ASTSize    11 (0/0) |Time    364 ( 199+165 )ms |CallNodes I    0/D    0 |GraalNodes    18/ 103 |CodeSize    340 |Source
```

Makes the output "clickable" in the Eclipse Console view

Print Function Inlining

```
./mx.sh sl -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation -G:+TraceTruffleInlining -G:+TraceTruffleCompilation  
-G:+TraceTruffleCompilationDetails graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/Inlining.sl
```

```
== running on Graal Truffle Runtime
```

```
[truffle] inline start      root c      |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] inline success   root b      |ASTSize      14 (0/0) |nodeCount    14/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.07  
[truffle] inline success   root a      |ASTSize      5 (0/0) |nodeCount    5/     5 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.20  
[truffle] inline done      root c      |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt queued      root c      |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt start        root c      |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt done         root c <opt> |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |Time 200( 185+15 )ms |CallNodes I 2/D 0 |GraalNodes  
[truffle] inline start     root g      |ASTSize     117 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] inline success   root d      |ASTSize      32 (0/0) |nodeCount    32/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.03  
[truffle] inline success   root c <opt> |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |nodeCount    23/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.04  
[truffle] inline success   root e      |ASTSize      32 (0/0) |nodeCount    32/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.03  
[truffle] inline success   root c <opt> |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |nodeCount    23/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.04  
[truffle] inline success   root f      |ASTSize      32 (0/0) |nodeCount    32/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.03  
[truffle] inline success   root c <opt> |ASTSize      23 (0/0) |nodeCount    23/    9 |frequency    1.00 |score        0.04  
[truffle] inline done      root g      |ASTSize     117 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt queued      root g      |ASTSize     117 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt start        root g      |ASTSize     117 (0/0) |C/T          1000/    3 |L/T          1000/ 1000 |Inval#/Replace#  
[truffle] opt done         root g <opt> |ASTSize     117 (0/0) |Time 100( 98+2 )ms |CallNodes I 12/D 0 |GraalNodes
```

Print CallTarget Profile

```
./mx.sh sl -G:-TruffleBackgroundCompilation -G:+TruffleCallTargetProfiling  
graal/com.oracle.truffle.sl.test/tests/Inlining.sl
```

Call Target	Call Count	Calls Sites Inlined / Not Inlined	Node Count	Inv
root g	2200	12 0	92	0
root f	1300	3 0	25	0
root e	1300	3 0	25	0
root c	1200	2 0	18	0
root b	1100	1 0	11	0
root a	1000	0 0	4	0
root d	999	0 1	8	0 int
root main	1	0 1	26	0 int
Total	9100	21 2	209	0

Truffle Mindset

- Do not optimize interpreter performance
 - Only optimize compiled code performance
- Collect profiling information in interpreter
 - Yes, it makes the interpreter slower
 - But it makes your compiled code faster
- Do not specialize nodes in the parser, e.g., via static analysis
 - Trust the specialization at run time
- Keep node implementations small and simple
 - Split complex control flow into multiple nodes, use node rewriting
- Use `final` fields
 - Compiler can aggressively optimize them
 - Example: An `if` on a `final` field is optimized away by the compiler
 - Try using `@CompilationFinal` if the Java `final` is too restrictive
- Use microbenchmarks to assess and track performance of specializations
 - Ensure and assert that you end up in the expected specialization

Truffle Mindset: Frames

- Use `VirtualFrame`, and ensure it does not escape
 - Sometimes, you get strangely looking error messages about escaping frames
 - Graal must be able to inline all methods that get the `VirtualFrame` parameter
 - Call must be statically bound during compilation
 - Calls to `static` or `private` methods are always statically bound
 - Virtual calls and interface calls work if either
 - The receiver has a known exact type, e.g., comes from a `final` field
 - The method is not overridden in a subclass
- Important rules on passing around a `VirtualFrame`
 - Never assign it to a field
 - Never pass it to a recursive method
 - Graal cannot inline a call to a recursive method
- Use a `MaterializedFrame` if a `VirtualFrame` is too restrictive
 - But keep in mind that access is probably slower

Object Layout

Dynamic Object

```
public class YourLanguageObject {
    Shape shape;

    long primitiveStorageLocation1;
    ...
    long primitiveStorageLocationN;

    Object objectStorageLocation1;
    ...
    Object objectStorageLocationN;

    long[] primitiveStorageExtension; // Allocated only when necessary.
    Object[] objectStorageExtension; // Allocated only when necessary.
}
```


Most guest language objects require only one Java object

```
public class Shape {
    Shape parent;

    String propertyName;
    Type propertyType;
    int propertyIndex;
}
```

Object Layout Transitions (1)


```
var x = {};  
x.foo = 0;  
x.bar = 0;  
// + subtree A
```



Object Layout Transitions (2)

```
var x = {};  
x.foo = 0;  
x.bar = 0;  
// + subtree A
```

```
var y = {};  
y.foo = 0.5;  
y.bar = "foo";  
// + subtree B
```




Object Layout Transitions (3)

```
var x = {};  
x.foo = 0;  
x.bar = 0;  
// + subtree A
```

```
var y = {};  
y.foo = 0.5;  
y.bar = "foo";  
// + subtree B
```

```
x.foo += 0.2  
// + subtree C
```



Stack Walking and Frame Introspection

Stack Walking Requirements

- Requirements
 - Visit all guest language stack frames
 - Abstract over interpreted and compiled frames
 - Allow access to frames down the stack
 - Read and write access is necessary for some languages
 - No performance overhead
 - No overhead in compiled methods as long as frame access is not used
 - No manual linking of stack frames
 - No heap-based stack frames
- Solution in Truffle
 - Stack walking is performed by Java VM
 - Truffle runtime exposes the Java VM stack walking via clean API
 - Truffle runtime abstracts over interpreted and compiled frames
 - Deoptimization used for write access of frames down the stack

Stack Walking

```
public abstract class SLStackTraceBuiltin extends SLBuiltinNode {  
  
    @TruffleBoundary  
    private static String createStackTrace() {  
        StringBuilder str = new StringBuilder();  
  
        Truffle.getRuntime().iterateFrames(frameInstance -> {  
            dumpFrame(str, frameInstance.getCallTarget(), frameInstance.getFrame(FrameAccess.READ_ONLY, true));  
            return null;  
        });  
  
        return str.toString();  
    }  
  
    private static void dumpFrame(StringBuilder str, CallTarget callTarget, Frame frame) {  
        if (str.length() > 0) { str.append("\n"); }  
  
        str.append("Frame: ").append(((RootCallTarget) callTarget).getRootNode().toString());  
        FrameDescriptor frameDescriptor = frame.getFrameDescriptor();  
        for (FrameSlot s : frameDescriptor.getSlots()) {  
            str.append(", ").append(s.getIdentifier()).append("=").append(frame.getValue(s));  
        }  
    }  
}
```

TruffleRuntime provides stack walking

FrameInstance is a handle to a guest language frame

Stack Frame Access

```
public interface FrameInstance {  
  
    public static enum FrameAccess {  
        NONE,  
        READ_ONLY,  
        READ_WRITE,  
        MATERIALIZE  
    }  
  
    Frame getFrame(FrameAccess access, boolean slowPath);  
  
    CallTarget getCallTarget();  
}
```

The more access you request, the slower it is:
Write access requires deoptimization

Access to the Frame and the CallTarget gives you full access to your guest language's data structures and the AST of the method

Stack Frame Access

```
public abstract class SLHelloEqualsWorldBuiltin extends SLBuiltinNode {  
  
    @Specialization  
    @TruffleBoundary  
    public String change() {  
        FrameInstance frameInstance = Truffle.getRuntime().getCallerFrame();  
        Frame frame = frameInstance.getFrame(FrameAccess.READ_WRITE, false);  
        FrameSlot slot = frame.getFrameDescriptor().findOrAddFrameSlot("hello");  
        frame.setObject(slot, "world");  
        return "world";  
    }  
}
```


A constructed example, but the mechanism is generally usable

Substrate VM

Substrate VM

- Goal
 - Run Truffle languages without the overhead of a Java VM
- Approach
 - Ahead-of-time compile the Java bytecodes to machine code
 - Build standard Linux / MacOS executable


Substrate VM: Execution Model




Substrate VM: Startup Performance

Running Ruby "Hello World"

[msec] Execution Time



[MByte] Memory Footprint



**Substrate VM eliminates the Java VM startup overhead:
Orders of magnitude for
time and memory**

Execution time: `time -f "%e"`

Memory footprint: `time -f "%M"`

Debugging Tools

“Write Your Own Language **and Debugger**”

Current situation

Prototype a new language

Parser and language work to build syntax tree (AST),
AST Interpreter

Write a “real” VM

In C/C++, still using AST interpreter, spend a lot of time
implementing runtime system, GC, ...

People start using it

People complain about performance

Define a bytecode format and write bytecode interpreter

People want supporting tools

Write a debugger (really?)
Use *printf* (more likely)

How it should be

Prototype a new language in Java


Parser and language work to build syntax tree (AST)
Execute using AST interpreter

People start using it

And it is already fast
And it has a debugger

System Structure **Extended for Debugging**

AST Interpreter for every language



Your language should be here!

Common API between language implementation and debugging framework and optimization system



Language agnostic dynamic compiler




Integrate with Java applications

Low-footprint VM, also suitable for embedding


Proxy Nodes as Evaluation “Hooks”

Unmodified Truffle AST



Debuggable Truffle AST

Insert Proxies



Proxies intercept execution if needed, e.g., to “break”

Marks these nodes as “statements” for debugging

Proxied nodes indexed by source location

The language implementer:

- Decides which nodes to proxy
- Tags nodes with desired debugging behavior
- Adds other language-specific behavior as needed

Seaton, Van De Vanter, Haupt: *Debugging at Full Speed*; Accepted at DYLA'14

Proxy Nodes

- Debugging Proxies are
 - Legitimate Truffle AST nodes
 - Transparent to execution semantics of program
 - Default behavior is to pass through all invocations
 - Independent of other platform services (mostly)
 - Compiled to no-ops when not active
 - Compiled into fast-path when active
 - Useful for breakpoint conditions in long-running code
 - Reconstructed through deoptimization, simplifying user interaction
 - A generalization of the approach used for the Self Debugger[†]
 - Set a breakpoint by modifying program, then reoptimize

[†] Urs Hölzle, Craig Chambers, and David Ungar. 1992. Debugging optimized code with dynamic deoptimization. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 1992 conference on Programming language design and implementation (PLDI '92)*

Summary

Your Language?

<http://openjdk.java.net/projects/graal/>
graal-dev@openjdk.java.net

```
$ hg clone http://hg.openjdk.java.net/graal/graal
$ cd graal
$ ./mx --vm server build
$ ./mx ideinit
$ ./mx --vm server unittest SumTest
```

More Installation Instructions:

<https://wiki.openjdk.java.net/display/Graal/Instructions>

Truffle API Resources:

<https://wiki.openjdk.java.net/display/Graal/Truffle+FAQ+and+Guidelines>

Truffle API License: GPLv2 with Classpath Exception

Hardware and Software Engineered to Work Together

ORACLE®